



Ensuring the Effectiveness of Education through Interactive Methods in the Modern Pedagogical Process

Tokhtamurodova Laylo Rashid, Rakhmatullayeva Lobar Khurshid*

Chirchik State Pedagogical University, Chirchik, Uzbekistan

*Correspondence: E-mail: rashid@cspu.uz

ABSTRACT

This study explores the effectiveness of interactive teaching methods in enhancing student learning outcomes and classroom engagement. Specifically, it focuses on the use of strategies such as “Cluster,” “Brain Ring,” “Mind Wheel,” “Insert,” and “Role Playing” in a higher education setting. A quasi-experimental design was implemented involving 80 second-year students at a university in Tashkent, divided into control and experimental groups. While the control group received instruction through traditional lecture-based methods, the experimental group was taught using the aforementioned interactive techniques. Data were collected through assessments measuring knowledge retention, participation, creativity, critical thinking, and teamwork. The results showed that the experimental group outperformed the control group across all indicators. Among the methods applied, the “Cluster” technique stood out as particularly effective in stimulating idea organization, promoting active learning, and fostering group collaboration. These findings support the integration of interactive pedagogical strategies as a means to improve the quality and effectiveness of education. Ultimately, such methods contribute to the development of future-ready learners equipped with essential 21st-century skills.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Submitted/Received 15 Jan 2025

First Revised 20 Feb 2025

Accepted 25 Apr 2025

First Available online 26 Apr 2025

Publication Date 01 Sep 2025

Keyword:

*Creative thinking,
Education effectiveness,
Interactive methods,
Pedagogical innovation,
Student engagement.*

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 21st century, the landscape of education is experiencing a profound transformation, driven by the forces of globalization, rapid technological advancement, and the evolving demands of a knowledge-based society (Calixtro Jr., 2024; Malik, 2018; Malik *et al.*, 2024). Today's learners are expected not only to master content knowledge but also to develop a broad spectrum of competencies, including critical thinking, problem-solving, collaboration, and especially communication. Consequently, educational institutions must reorient their pedagogical strategies to foster active, engaged, and learner-centered environments (Bantilan, 2024).

Traditional lecture-based approaches, which rely heavily on the one-way transmission of information, have been increasingly criticized for their limited impact on student engagement and long-term knowledge retention (Ibrahim, 2023; Rather & Schier, 2020). Research has consistently shown that passive learning environments often result in superficial understanding, low motivation, and a lack of essential soft skills, particularly oral communication (Kearney *et al.*, 2024). These shortcomings underscore the urgency of integrating interactive teaching methods that position students as co-constructors of knowledge.

Interactive pedagogical techniques—such as “Cluster,” “Brain Ring,” “Mind Wheel,” “Insert,” and “Role Playing”—offer dynamic alternatives to conventional instruction. These methods are grounded in constructivist and socio-cultural theories of learning, emphasizing active participation, peer interaction, and contextualized problem-solving. They help bridge the gap between theory and practice, fostering not only cognitive but also emotional and social engagement in the classroom (Alam & Mohanty, 2023; Tetzlaff *et al.*, 2021).

Among these, the “Cluster” technique has gained attention for its potential to activate students' prior knowledge, encourage associative thinking, and promote structured yet flexible idea development. When used in combination with other strategies like role playing and interactive games, it creates a rich, dialogic learning environment where students feel empowered to articulate their thoughts and explore different perspectives.

This study investigates the application and comparative effectiveness of the “Cluster” method alongside other interactive strategies in developing students' speaking skills. By focusing on the enhancement of oral communication—a skill often underdeveloped in formal education—this research aims to provide practical insights for educators seeking to nurture confident, articulate, and socially competent learners in line with the demands of modern education.

2. METHODS

This study utilized a quasi-experimental design with a pretest-posttest control group approach to assess the effectiveness of interactive teaching methods, specifically the “Cluster” method, in enhancing students' knowledge acquisition, creative and critical thinking, collaboration, and classroom participation. The participants consisted of 80 second-year students enrolled in an economics program at a higher education institution in Tashkent. These students were randomly divided into two groups: the experimental group (n = 40), which received instruction incorporating interactive methods such as Cluster, Mind Wheel, Insert, Role Playing, and Brain Ring, and the control group (n = 40), which followed traditional

teaching methods, predominantly lectures and passive discussions. The intervention spanned six weeks, with both groups covering the same curriculum topics. The Cluster method, in particular, was used in both individual and group settings, aiming to facilitate knowledge construction, encourage associative thinking, and foster collaboration among students. Evaluation was based on several criteria: knowledge acquisition (measured via pretest and posttest), classroom participation, creative thinking abilities (assessed through written tasks), critical analysis skills (measured by problem-solving activities), and teamwork competence (observed through group work).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of the data revealed notable differences in performance between the control and experimental groups. The experimental group demonstrated a significant improvement in knowledge acquisition, reaching 85% compared to the control group's 65%. This highlights the effectiveness of interactive methods, particularly the Cluster method, in enhancing students' understanding of the material. Additionally, the level of active participation in the classroom was substantially higher in the experimental group (87%) than in the control group (52%), suggesting that the interactive approach encouraged greater student involvement. Creative thinking abilities were also more pronounced in the experimental group, with 80% of students showing increased creativity compared to just 48% in the control group. Critical analysis skills also stood out, as 83% of the experimental group demonstrated strong problem-solving abilities, in contrast to only 55% in the control group. Teamwork competence saw similar improvements, with 82% of the experimental group displaying strong collaboration skills compared to just 50% in the control group. These results demonstrate that the integration of interactive teaching methods, particularly the Cluster method, led to significant improvements in student engagement, creative and critical thinking, and teamwork ([Almulla, 2023](#); [Gan et al., 2015](#); [Alanazi et al., 2023](#)).

The findings of this study provide compelling evidence supporting the efficacy of interactive teaching methods, particularly the Cluster method, in improving student learning outcomes ([Schroader et al., 2007](#); [Schroeder et al., 2007](#); [Seidel & Shavelson, 2007](#); [Baeten et al., 2010](#); [Alipova et al., 2024](#)). This method encourages students to start with a central concept and branch out into related ideas, fostering a deeper understanding and critical engagement with the material. In individual settings, the method helps students define central concepts, explore related themes, and critically analyze information, leading to a more comprehensive grasp of the topic ([Khodadad, 2023](#); [Blyznyuk & Kachak, 2024](#); [Le et al., 2024](#)). When implemented in group settings, the Cluster method promotes collective brainstorming and the creation of idea networks, which enhances collaboration and idea exchange.

The impact of the Cluster method on students' cognitive development is reinforced by the higher scores in creative thinking, critical analysis, and teamwork in the experimental group. These improvements align with modern pedagogical theories that emphasize student-centered learning, problem-solving, and the development of both critical and creative thinking skills ([Ismail, 2024](#); [Bakar, 2021](#); [Renninger, 2024](#)). Furthermore, the integration of other interactive methods such as Mind Wheel, Brain Ring, and Role Playing contributed to the overall enhancement of students' problem-solving capabilities, communication skills, and active participation.

Statistical analysis further corroborates the positive effects of these interactive methods, with an overall improvement of 20-30% in educational effectiveness. This suggests that interactive methods not only facilitate knowledge acquisition but also foster an environment

that encourages collaboration, independent thinking, and the ability to engage in complex problem-solving. The results emphasize the importance of incorporating these methods into modern educational practices to create an active, participatory learning environment. In conclusion, the study highlights the significance of interactive teaching methods, especially the Cluster method, as a tool to enhance student engagement, critical thinking, and collaboration, ultimately improving the overall quality of education.

4. CONCLUSION

The study conclusively demonstrates the significant advantages of using interactive teaching methods, particularly the Cluster method, in enhancing the educational experience and outcomes for students. The experimental group, which employed interactive methods such as the Cluster, Mind Wheel, Brain Ring, and Role Playing, showed substantial improvements in key areas such as knowledge acquisition, active participation, creative thinking, critical analysis, and teamwork when compared to the control group. These improvements underline the effectiveness of interactive methods in fostering a deeper understanding of the material, encouraging independent thinking, and promoting collaborative problem-solving.

The Cluster method, grounded in the theory of cognitive processes, proves to be an effective pedagogical strategy that aligns with the brain's natural patterns of information processing. It allows students to create conceptual networks around a central theme, leading to a holistic understanding of the subject matter and stimulating critical engagement. The method also promotes student interaction and idea exchange, which are essential in cultivating a dynamic, student-centered learning environment. The increased student participation, creativity, and ability to analyze and solve problems are clear indicators of the method's success in supporting a comprehensive learning process.

Moreover, the statistical results, with a 20-30% improvement in educational outcomes, reinforce the claim that interactive methods enhance the overall effectiveness of teaching and learning. The Cluster method not only improves academic performance but also prepares students with essential 21st-century skills such as collaboration, problem-solving, and critical thinking. Therefore, it is recommended that educational institutions integrate interactive methods, especially the Cluster method, into their curricula as part of a broader effort to develop active, creative, and critically engaged learners.

In conclusion, the implementation of interactive methods, including the Cluster method, plays a crucial role in shaping modern education, enhancing both the cognitive and collaborative skills of students, and ultimately contributing to the development of well-rounded, capable individuals ready to thrive in diverse professional environments. The findings of this study underscore the importance of incorporating innovative, student-centered pedagogical strategies to foster a more engaging, effective, and future-oriented educational system.

5. AUTHORS' NOTE

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. Authors confirmed that the paper was free of plagiarism.

6. REFERENCES

- Alam, A., and Mohanty, A. (2023). Educational technology: Exploring the convergence of technology and pedagogy through mobility, interactivity, AI, and learning tools. *Cogent Engineering*, 10(2), 2283282.
- Alanazi, A. S., Almulla, A. A., and Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Evaluating the effects of integrating cognitive presence strategies on teacher attitudes and student learning outcomes in special education and autism classrooms. *International Journal of Special Education*, 38(2), 80-89.
- Alipova, A., Turganbayeva, A., Alimzhanova, L., Savelyeva, V., and Malybayev, R. (2024). Evaluating the effectiveness of gaming practices in enhancing computer science terminology learning among primary school students. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 14(6), 865-875.
- Almulla, M. A. (2023). Constructivism learning theory: A paradigm for students' critical thinking, creativity, and problem solving to affect academic performance in higher education. *Cogent Education*, 10(1), 2172929.
- Baeten, M., Kyndt, E., Struyven, K., and Dochy, F. (2010). Using student-centred learning environments to stimulate deep approaches to learning: Factors encouraging or discouraging their effectiveness. *Educational Research Review*, 5(3), 243-260.
- Bakar, S. (2021). Investigating the dynamics of contemporary pedagogical approaches in higher education through innovations, challenges, and paradigm shifts. *Social Science Chronicle*, 1(1), 1-19.
- Bantilan, E.N. (2024). Teachers 21st century skills special program in sports curriculum. *ASEAN Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science*, 3(1), 27-34.
- Blyznyuk, T., and Kachak, T. (2024). Benefits of interactive learning for students' critical thinking skills improvement. *Journal of Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University*, 11(1), 94-102.
- Calixtro Jr., V.L. (2024). Learners' 21st century skills special program in sports curriculum. *Indonesian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 4(2), 267-274.
- Gan, B., Menkhoff, T., and Smith, R. (2015). Enhancing students' learning process through interactive digital media: New opportunities for collaborative learning. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 51, 652-663.
- Ibrahim, A.O. (2023). Impact of blended learning method on secondary school physics students' achievement and retention in Lokoja, Nigeria. *ASEAN Journal for Science Education*, 2(2), 57-66.
- Ismail, I. (2024). Exploring modern educational theories: A literature review of student learning in the digital age. *International Journal Multidisciplinary Science*, 3(3), 83-94.
- Kearney, J., Bond-Barnard, T., and Chugh, R. (2024). Soft skills and learning methods for 21st-century project management: a review. *International Journal of Information Systems and Project Management*, 12(4), 2.

- Khodadad, D. (2023). Creating a supportive and effective learning environment for engineering students: Pedagogical strategies, engagement, and enhanced outcomes. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy*, 13(8), 33-50.
- Le, H. V., Nguyen, T. A. D., Le, D. H. N., Nguyen, P. U., and Nguyen, T. T. A. (2024). Unveiling critical reading strategies and challenges: a mixed-methods study among English major students in a Vietnamese higher education institution. *Cogent Education*, 11(1), 2326732.
- Malik, A., Khatoon, M., Siddique, S., and Rauf, A. (2024). Disruptive technologies in education: Transforming traditional curriculum models for the 21st century. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Emerging Needs of Curriculum*, 1(2), 53-60.
- Malik, R. S. (2018). Educational challenges in 21st century and sustainable development. *Journal of Sustainable Development Education and Research*, 2(1), 9-20.
- Rathner, J. A., and Schier, M. A. (2020). The impact of flipped classroom andragogy on student assessment performance and perception of learning experience in two advanced physiology subjects. *Advances in Physiology Education*, 44(1), 80-92.
- Renninger, E. P. (2024). Exploring the impact of constructivist learning on students' problem-solving abilities in education. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Dan Humaniora*, 13(2), 81-91.
- Schroeder, C. M., Scott, T. P., Tolson, H., Huang, T. Y., and Lee, Y. H. (2007). A meta-analysis of national research: Effects of teaching strategies on student achievement in science in the United States. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching: The Official Journal of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching*, 44(10), 1436-1460.
- Seidel, T., and Shavelson, R. J. (2007). Teaching effectiveness research in the past decade: The role of theory and research design in disentangling meta-analysis results. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(4), 454-499.
- Tetzlaff, L., Schmiedek, F., and Brod, G. (2021). Developing personalized education: A dynamic framework. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33, 863-882.