ASEAN Journal of
Economic and Economic Education

Journal homepage: https://ejournal.bumipublikasinusantara.id/index.php/ajeee

# The Economics of Recycling: A Review Compiled with Tax and Subsidiary, Implication for Government, Decision-Makers, Enterprises, Community, and Analysis Cost/Benefit and Market

*Saheed Oluwaseun Lawal**

Department of Economics, Al-Hikmah University, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria
*Correspondence: E-mail: sirheedlawal@yahoo.com

## ABSTRACT

This study thoroughly examines the economic consequences linked to circular economy approaches, with a particular emphasis on recycling. This study compiles major discoveries on the financial impact of adopting circular economy tactics. The results emphasize the possibility of recycling to produce substantial cost reductions for companies. Companies can improve financial performance and competitiveness by enhancing resource efficiency and utilizing strategies like product reuse, repair, and remanufacturing, which can help stimulate the growth of new industries and support the generation of jobs in the recycling and circular supply chain fields. Circular economy approaches also lead to beneficial environmental results such as waste minimization, reduced emissions of greenhouse gases, and the preservation of natural resources. The cost savings from waste management, pollution control, and environmental regulation compliance can result from environmental benefits. Transitioning to a circular economy may involve upfront costs and hurdles to overcome, but the long-term economic rewards, resource effectiveness, and sustainability benefits of the circular economy approach make them very beneficial for both businesses and economies overall.



## ARTICLE INFO

***Article History:***
*Submitted/Received 11 Dec 2023*
*First Revised 03 Jan 2024*
*Accepted 20 Apr 2024*
*First Available online 24 Apr 2024*
*Publication Date 01 Sep 2024*

***Keyword:***
*Circular economy,*
*Environment,*
*Recycling,*
*Sustainability,*
*Waste.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The emergence of studying recycling economics is driven by growing environmental concerns such as waste production, resource depletion, and pollution. Recycling offers a viable solution to these issues by reducing the need for new resources, decreasing landfill waste, and mitigating environmental impacts (see https://www.resourcepanel.org/reports/global-resources-outlook). Recycling plays a crucial role in conserving resources by reducing the need for extracting and processing raw materials. This helps protect natural resources, diminishes habitat destruction, and combats climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The economics of recycling offers numerous advantages. Recycling contributes to economic development by creating jobs, expanding markets for recycled materials, and promoting a circular economy (see https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/CE_Carbon_091019.pdf). It stimulates innovation, encourages the adoption of environmentally friendly business practices, and generates economic opportunities. Recycling provides benefits for public health by reducing pollution and the associated health risks related to waste disposal (see https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/circular-economy-in-europe-developing-the-knowledge-base). Proper waste management, including recycling, helps prevent the release of harmful substances into the environment, safeguarding public health and well-being (see https://www.resourcepanel.org/reports/global-resources-outlook).

This paper offered a thorough examination of the economics of recycling. The objective was to explore the economic factors linked to recycling, such as incentives, analyzing costs and benefits, market forces, economic feasibility, obstacles, and government interventions. The paper aimed to improve understanding of the economic impacts of recycling and its contribution to sustainable waste management and resource preservation by examining these aspects.

In short, we explained several aspects:

(i) Tax incentives and subsidies for recycling industries.
(ii) Sustainable supply chains and public procurement programs.
(iii) Implications for government decision-makers.
(iv) Implications for enterprises.
(v) Implications for community.
(vi) Analyze the costs and benefits of recycling programs and policies.
(vii) Market analysis of recycling markets.
(viii) Economic feasibility and growth of circular business models.
(ix) Economic consequences of new recycling technologies.
(x) Behavioral economics and recycling.
(xi) Assessing the efficiency and effectiveness of recycling policies.
(xii) Examining the social and distributional impacts of recycling programs.
(xiii) International and comparative studies.
(xiv) Integrating economic and environmental analysis.

## 2. METHOD

This paper is a literature survey. We gained data from Internet sources, especially papers published in international journals. We compiled data into several parts:

(i) We explored the financial motivations behind recycling. This part of the study looks at different pricing methods and market-based tools that promote recycling and limit waste

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

production. We investigated how effective these rewards are and offered perspectives from case studies and real-world data.

(ii) We also examined the cost-benefit analysis of recycling. We examine the expenses linked to various parts of the recycling procedure, such as gathering, categorizing, refining, and shipping. Moreover, we analyze the positive effects of recycling on the environment and economy, such as preserving resources, saving energy, lowering greenhouse gas emissions, creating employment opportunities, and promoting a circular economy.

(iii) We shifted our focus to the recycling sectors and market forces. This part examined the market demand and supply for recycled materials, the factors affecting market prices, and the impact of government policies and regulations on recycling markets. Moreover, we examined how recycling initiatives contribute to economic expansion, particularly through the generation of environmentally friendly jobs, new ideas, and the advancement of a sustainable economic model.

(iv) We explored the financial sustainability and obstacles of recycling. We examined various recycling enterprise models, their financial sustainability, and the obstacles encountered by recycling companies. Real-life scenarios and instances of effective recycling business models are presented to demonstrate practical implementations.

(v) We examined and measured with financial tools that promote recycling. We analyzed government policies, regulations, tax breaks, subsidies, public procurement initiatives, and circular economy approaches. These interventions seek to establish a supportive setting for recycling efforts and improve their financial results.

(vi) Lastly, we summarized the main points of the literature review and explored how they affect policymakers, businesses, and society in the conclusion (Section VII). We also offered suggestions for upcoming research to continue enhancing the comprehension of the economics of recycling.

Our goal was to add to the current knowledge on recycling economics and emphasize its importance in reaching sustainable development objectives by conducting a thorough analysis. By grasping the economic factors of recycling, individuals involved can make well-informed choices and execute successful plans to encourage recycling behaviors and establish a more sustainable tomorrow.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1. Economic Incentives for Recycling

#### 3.1.1. Pricing mechanisms and their impact on recycling behaviour

Pricing mechanisms have been widely recognized as influential factors in shaping recycling behaviors. The implementation of a "Pay-As-You-Throw" (PAYT) system, where waste disposal fees are based on the quantity of waste generated, has been found to provide individuals and businesses with a financial incentive to reduce waste and increase recycling efforts (Costa *et al*., 2017). Studies have shown that PAYT programs can significantly boost recycling rates and decrease waste disposal (Gomez *et al*., 2019).

The "Deposit-Return" system, which involves a refundable deposit fee on specific items like beverage containers, has proven effective in motivating consumers to recycle rather than discard these items (Geyer *et al*., 2016). Research has indicated that deposit-return systems increase recycling rates for targeted items and reduce littering (McConnell *et al*., 2018).

Variable rate pricing, characterized by fluctuating fees for different waste or recycling services, can incentivize waste segregation and recycling by providing a financial motivation to separate recyclables from other waste. This pricing strategy has the potential to encourage waste reduction and promote more sustainable consumption habits (Huang *et al*., 2020).

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

Trading permit systems, such as those used in emissions trading, offer a market-oriented approach to recycling (Fischer *et al*., 2018). By issuing permits for the disposal or recycling of specific materials, these systems establish limits on waste disposal or set recycling targets (Sorrell *et al*., 2020). Participants in the system can trade permits, fostering effective recycling practices and providing financial incentives for those exceeding recycling goals (Farrell *et al*., 2019).

Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) policies, which assign post-consumer product management responsibilities to manufacturers, often through fees or taxes based on environmental impact, have been effective in motivating producers to develop recyclable products and support recycling infrastructure (Reike *et al*., 2018). EPR encourages manufacturers to consider the entire life cycle of their products and promotes environmentally friendly production and recycling practices.

### 3.1.2. Market-based instruments and their effectiveness in promoting recycling

Market-based instruments (MBIs) have proven to be effective economic strategies for promoting recycling. Tradable permits, also known as cap-and-trade systems, establish a market where permits allow a specific amount of emissions or waste production can be traded. In the context of recycling, tradable permits can create a marketplace for recycling credits or certificates. Businesses or organizations that exceed their recycling goals can sell their surplus credits to those who fall short, creating a financial incentive for achieving higher recycling rates.

Taxes or fees on products offer another market-based approach to incentivize recycling. By applying taxes or fees to products based on their environmental impact or recyclability, such as levying taxes on non-recyclable plastics or single-use items, while exempting or reducing taxes on recyclable alternatives, consumers and businesses are encouraged to choose more sustainable options. This pricing mechanism raises the cost of environmentally harmful products and lowers the cost of recyclable ones, thereby promoting recycling behaviors (Costa *et al*., 2017).

Financial incentives in the form of subsidies and grants play a crucial role in supporting and promoting recycling activities. Governments and organizations can provide funding for building recycling infrastructure, offer subsidies for purchasing recycling equipment, and provide financial support for the development of new recycling technologies. These incentives make recycling more economically viable by reducing financial barriers and encouraging investment in recycling initiatives (Gomez *et al*., 2019).

Green procurement, which prioritizes environmentally friendly products, including those made from recycled materials, in purchasing decisions, stimulates the demand for recycled materials. By integrating environmental standards, such as mandating a specific percentage of recycled content in products, into procurement procedures, green procurement encourages businesses to invest in recycling infrastructure. This market pull effect increases recycling rates and stimulates the growth of the recycling market (Reike *et al*., 2018).

Market-based recycling incentives involve providing monetary rewards or reduced rates for specific recycling actions. For example, local governments may offer cash rewards or discounted garbage collection services to households that consistently segregate recyclables from their trash. These incentives motivate individuals and businesses to participate in recycling initiatives and contribute to higher recycling levels (Huang *et al*., 2020).

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

### 3.1.3. Case studies and empirical evidence on the economic incentives for recycling

Systems where consumers pay a deposit on bottles and receive a refund when they return them are implemented in countries like Germany and South Australia.

Germany: "In Germany, a deposit-refund system for beverage containers has contributed to a recycling rate of over 98% for plastic bottles" (see https://www.plasticseurope.org/en/resources/press-room/plastics-facts-2021).

South Australia: "South Australia has implemented a successful bottle deposit-refund program, which has significantly increased recycling rates" (see https://www.sa.gov.au/topics/environment-energy-and-water/waste-and-recycling/container-deposit-scheme).

Systems like Pay-As-You-Throw (PAYT) in Taipei, Taiwan require payment based on the amount of waste disposed.

Taipei, Taiwan: "Taipei has implemented a successful Pay-As-You-Throw (PAYT) system, which charges households based on their waste production and offers rewards for waste reduction and recycling. This has resulted in increased recycling rates, reduced landfill waste, and lower disposal expenses" (Chen *et al*., 2020).

Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) programs like those in the European Union emphasize the responsibility of producers to manage their products throughout their lifecycle.

European Union: "The European Union has implemented various EPR programs for different product categories, such as electronics, packaging, and batteries. These programs incentivize producers to take responsibility for the disposal and recycling of their products, leading to higher recycling rates" (see https://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/producerresponsibility/index_en.htm).

Sustainable purchasing practices, such as in the United States, focus on environmentally friendly procurement.

United States: "Many states and cities in the United States have implemented green purchasing policies that prioritize the procurement of items made from recycled materials. For example, California's Buy Recycled Campaign promotes the purchase of products containing recycled materials by government agencies, stimulating the demand for recycled materials and supporting the development of recycling facilities" (see https://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/BuyRecycled/).

In Taiwan, the government implemented a program that gives cash rewards to households for recycling.

Taiwan: "Taiwan has implemented a program that provides cash rewards to households for recycling. The system utilizes RFID technology to monitor and incentivize individuals who segregate recyclables, leading to higher recycling rates and improved waste management practices" (Wang *et al*., 2019).

### 3.1.4. Empirical studies have also provided evidence of the economic benefits of recycling. For example

A report from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) revealed that recycling and reusing efforts in the United States created more than 757,000 jobs and resulted in $37.8 billion in wages in 2019 (see https://www.epa.gov/smm/recycling-economic-information-rei-report).

According to research by the Ellen MacArthur Foundation, transitioning to a circular economy with recycling as a central part could generate an additional $1.8 trillion in value worldwide by 2030 (see

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/EllenMacArthurFoundation_CompletingThePicture.pdf).

Recycling aluminum cans is cost-effective and energy-efficient compared to manufacturing new cans from raw materials. Research published in Environmental Science & Technology substantiates that recycling aluminum cans saves significant energy (Norgate & Rankin, 2019).

### 3.2. Cost-Benefit Analysis of Recycling

#### 3.2.1. Cost analysis of recycling processes

Analyzing the costs of recycling processes is crucial to grasp the economic feasibility and long-term sustainability of recycling projects. It encompasses assessing the expenses linked to gathering, categorizing, treating, and promoting recyclable substances (Geyer *et al*., 2016).

Costs associated with collecting recyclable materials from homes, businesses, or drop-off points are known as collection costs. This covers wages, fuel expenses for trucks, upkeep, and office costs. The costs can be affected by the efficiency of collection methods, like curbside collection compared to centralized collection points (see https://www.epa.gov/international-cooperation/municipal-solid-waste-management-collection-and-transport-solid-waste).

Costs related to sorting and processing include the money spent on separating recyclable materials like paper, plastic, glass, and metals by their type. This consists of labor, machinery, equipment upkeep, and facility overhead expenses. The costs are influenced by the complexity of the sorting process, the degree of automation, and the quality standards needed (see https://www.eunomia.co.uk/reports-tools/the-true-cost-of-waste-infrastructure-carbon-impact/).

Costs related to transportation: These include the costs of transferring recyclables from collection spots to sorting facilities, processing plants, and final markets. Transportation costs are influenced by factors such as distance, type of transportation (such as trucking or rail), fuel prices, and logistics efficiency.

The market value of recyclable goods plays a vital role in cost assessment. The costs of recycled materials change depending on the balance of supply and demand, quality regulations, worldwide market situations, and the availability of buyers. Increased market prices can help balance out recycling expenses, but decreased market prices could affect the financial feasibility of recycling initiatives (see https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Waste_statistics_-_recycling_rates).

Investment Expenses in Infrastructure: The costs associated with the initial investment and continuous maintenance of recycling infrastructure, like recycling plants, sorting machinery, and material recovery facilities (MRFs), are important factors to take into account. These expenses consist of building construction, buying or renting equipment, improving technology, and maintaining facilities. The magnitude of the infrastructure and technological progress can impact these expenses (see https://www.epa.gov/smm/recycling-economic-information-rei-report).

Additional factors are included in ancillary costs and revenues. For instance, expenses linked to public education and outreach initiatives advocating recycling habits, along with expenses tied to waste management options in the absence of recycling efforts. Moreover, profits can be increased by selling by-products or energy produced through recycling procedures to cover expenses (see https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/circular-economy-in-europe-developing-the-knowledge-base).

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

### 3.2.2. Environmental and economic benefits of recycling

Recycling provides important environmental and economic advantages that support sustainable development and the preservation of resources.

#### 3.2.2.1. Advantages for the environment

Conservation of resources: Recycling decreases the necessity of extracting and processing raw materials from the Earth. Recycling helps to preserve natural resources like timber, minerals, water, and energy by utilizing materials again. This helps in preserving biodiversity, safeguarding ecosystems, and minimizing the environmental footprint of extracting resources.

Energy Conservation and Lower Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Recycling typically uses less energy than creating new materials from raw sources. Energy is conserved through activities such as sorting, processing, and re-manufacturing. Recycling plays a role in reducing climate change by decreasing energy usage and lowering greenhouse gas emissions linked to extracting resources, manufacturing, and transporting goods (see https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/circular-economy-in-europe-developing-the-knowledge-base).

Waste Reduction: Recycling helps minimize the volume of waste that is sent to landfills or incinerated by diverting materials from the waste stream. This aids in reducing environmental pollution by preventing the emission of greenhouse gases, leachate, and harmful substances from landfills. Moreover, recycling decreases the demand for new landfills and prolongs the lifespan of current ones (see https://www.epa.gov/facts-and-figures-about-materials-waste-and-recycling/advancing-sustainable-materials-management-0).

Decreased Pollution and Environmental Consequences: Recycling cuts down on pollution linked to different phases of material manufacturing. It reduces the need to extract and refine raw materials, which can harm the environment through harmful processes. Recycling also decreases the emissions of pollutants from waste incineration, landfilling, and illegal dumping (see https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/CE_Carbon_091019.pdf).

#### 3.2.2.2. Advantages in terms of the economy

Creating employment opportunities and promoting economic growth: Recycling efforts generate jobs and boost the economy. Recycling companies need employees for gathering, organizing, converting, producing, and other associated tasks. Studies have shown that the recycling industry can create jobs and boost regional economies (see https://www.eesi.org/files/EESI_Recycling-Economic-Development.pdf).

Resource and Cost Savings: Recycling helps to save resources and costs by using recycled materials in manufacturing. This decreases the necessity for expensive raw materials that are prone to price changes. Businesses can cut costs in production and lessen reliance on limited resources by utilizing recycled materials (see https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/EllenMacArthurFoundation_CompletingThePicture.pdf).

Market Growth and Innovation: The growth of the market is fueled by recycling and the demand for recycled materials, which also promotes innovation. This encourages investment in recycling infrastructure, technologies, and research and development. Through promoting creativity, recycling aids in the development of a circular economy, in which resources are

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

consistently reused and recycled (see https://www.weforum.org/whitepapers/towards-the-circular-economy-accelerating-the-scale-up-across-global-supply-chains).

Cost Reduction in Waste Management: Cutting costs related to waste management can be done through recycling, benefiting both municipalities and businesses. Recycling helps decrease costs linked to waste transportation, landfill maintenance, and waste treatment by redirecting materials away from landfills. This can allocate resources to other public services and lessen the financial strain on waste management systems (see https://www.epa.ie/pubs/reports/waste/economics/economic-and-social-benefits-of-recycling.pdf).

### 3.2.3. Case studies and empirical evidence on the cost-benefit analysis of recycling

Studies investigating the cost-effectiveness of recycling programs have been carried out in different situations, offering valuable information on the financial viability and advantages of recycling. Some examples and real-life data illustrate the cost-benefit analysis of recycling.

Recycling of materials on the side of the road in the United States is known as Curbside Recycling.

Research conducted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) analyzed the expenses and advantages of curbside recycling initiatives in the United States (U.S. EPA, 2022). The study discovered that, typically, curbside recycling programs incur a cost of $50 per ton of recyclables gathered, but the benefits (such as reduced disposal expenses, energy conservation, and decreased greenhouse gas emissions) were approximated at $55 per ton. This showed a positive outcome, indicating that curbside recycling programs in the U.S. are efficient in terms of cost.

Europe recycles construction and demolition (C&D) waste.

The European Commission researched the cost-benefit analysis of recycling construction and demolition waste (see https://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/producerresponsibility/index_en.htm). The examination indicated that recycling construction and demolition waste can result in substantial cost reductions when compared to sending it to landfills or incinerating it. Recycling C&D waste not only saves money on waste management but also offers economic advantages such as creating jobs, conserving resources, and minimizing environmental effects.

Systems for returning and getting money back for bottles in Canada.

The Conference Board of Canada researched the advantages and disadvantages of bottle deposit-refund programs in British Columbia and Ontario. The study discovered that these systems resulted in cost reductions in waste disposal, decreased litter, and generated job prospects in the recycling sector. The financial advantages were greater than the expenses, showing that bottle deposit-return systems are financially feasible.

Recycling plastic materials is practiced in Taiwan.

A research paper in the journal Resources, Conservation, and Recycling analyzed the economic assessment of plastic recycling practices in Taiwan. The study revealed that recycling plastic waste was more economically beneficial than disposing of it in landfills or incinerating it. The research emphasized the decreased expenses in waste management, the preservation of resources, and the financial benefits produced by the recycling sector.

The process of recycling electronic waste (e-waste) in Australia:

An evaluation carried out by the Australian Government examined the economic advantages of recycling electronic waste (Australian Government, 2022). The examination determined that recycling electronic waste brought economic advantages through the

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

retrieval of valuable materials decreased environmental effects, and the generation of jobs in the recycling industry. The research highlighted how crucial it is to have effective collection methods and efficient recycling procedures to optimize the financial advantages.

### 3.3. Recycling Industries and Market Dynamics

#### 3.3.1. Market demand and supply of recycled materials

Manufacturing and Industrial Sectors: The recycling industry is heavily relied upon by the manufacturing and industrial sectors. Recycled materials are utilized in the manufacturing of a range of products including packaging, construction materials, automotive parts, and consumer goods. Factors such as cost competitiveness, product quality, and consumer preferences for sustainable products influence the demand for recycled materials in these industries.

Consumer Preferences: The rise in consumer awareness and preference for environmentally friendly and sustainable products has led to an increased demand for recycled materials. Consumers frequently opt for products made from recycled materials, causing an increase in the demand for these items. This trend has led numerous businesses to integrate recycled materials into their products to fulfill consumer demand.

Government Procurement Policies: The role of government procurement policies in stimulating demand for recycled materials is considerable. Numerous governments have put in place regulations mandating or promoting the utilization of recycled materials in public projects, like building infrastructure and developments. These regulations boost interest in reused materials and contribute to the expansion of the market (see https://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/producerresponsibility/index_en.htm).

Regulation on the environment, like goals for reducing waste and limits on specific materials, can impact the need for recycled materials. Rules designed to decrease waste and support sustainable methods frequently encourage companies to utilize recycled materials instead of virgin ones, ultimately boosting the demand in the market.

Supply of recycled materials in the market: Availability and effectiveness of collection and recycling infrastructure are essential for the supply of recycled materials. Proper infrastructure for gathering, organizing, and managing recyclables is vital for maintaining a consistent flow of materials. Investing in recycling facilities and technologies can improve the recycling industry's ability and efficiency.

Consumer involvement and recycling rates are interlinked as the level of consumer participation in recycling programs and the proper sorting of recyclables impact the availability of recycled materials. Increased recycling rates and more involvement from consumers lead to a greater availability of recyclable materials. Public education and awareness initiatives can promote recycling habits and enhance the availability of recyclable materials.

Recyclable materials' quality and quantity gathered affect the market's supply side. There is a greater demand for high-quality recyclables that meet industry standards, which can result in higher prices. Having a wide variety of recyclables, such as different types of plastics, paper, metals, and glass, is crucial to cater to the unique requirements of various industries.

Trade and international market conditions: The exchange of recycled materials in the global market can impact supply dynamics. Exporting and importing goods, taxes, and global agreements influence the accessibility and prices of recycled materials. Global market conditions can also impact the supply and demand of recycled materials through fluctuations in commodity prices.

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

### 3.3.2. Factors influencing market prices and fluctuations

Various factors can impact market prices for recycled materials, causing fluctuations and unpredictability. Comprehending these factors is essential for individuals involved in the recycling sector.

The interaction of supply and demand influences market prices significantly. If there is an oversupply of a specific recycled material, prices could go down. On the other hand, prices may increase if there is more demand than supply. Factors that impact supply and demand consist of alterations in recycling rates, variations in consumer and industrial demand, changes in manufacturing techniques, and worldwide economic circumstances.

Commodity Prices: Frequently, recycled materials like metals and plastics are exchanged as commodities. Therefore, changes in commodity markets can impact the prices of their products. Fluctuations in worldwide commodity prices, influenced by factors such as supply interruptions, political events, currency fluctuations, and general market attitudes, may affect the prices of recycled materials. If the cost of new materials decreases significantly, it could impact the competitiveness and pricing of recycled options.

The market prices of recycled materials can be impacted by their quality and contamination levels. Materials of superior quality that meet industry standards and have low levels of impurities generally demand higher prices. The usability and processing costs of recycled materials may be affected by contamination levels. Hence, materials with reduced contamination are frequently preferred and may command higher prices.

Advancements in technology: The improvement of recycling processes through technology can influence market prices by enhancing efficiency, quality, and cost-efficiency. Improvements in sorting, cleaning, and processing methods can lower expenses and increase the worth of recycled materials. Advancements in plastic recycling technologies, such as improved recovery and purification methods for certain types of plastics, can raise their market worth.

Government policies and regulations have a significant impact on market prices for recycled materials. Measures like Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) programs, recycling goals, and landfill taxes can boost demand and help raise prices by encouraging recycling. On the other hand, alterations in regulations or trade policies, such as limitations on importing specific recyclables by key markets, may disturb supply chains and affect prices.

International Trade and Market Conditions: Prices can be influenced by international trade and market conditions due to the global nature of recycling markets. Alterations in import and export policies, duties, and trade deals can affect the movement of recycled materials and shape prices. Economic situations in primary recycling importers like China can greatly impact worldwide prices for specific recyclable materials.

The prices of recycled materials can be affected by the prices of energy and raw materials, such as virgin materials. The costs of gathering, organizing, and handling recyclables are directly influenced by energy expenses. High energy prices can raise the total expenses of recycling and potentially affect market prices. Moreover, the demand for recycled materials as substitutes can be affected by the availability and cost of raw materials.

### 3.3.3. Government policies and regulations shaping recycling markets

Government policies and regulations are essential in influencing recycling markets through the creation of structures and rewards that encourage recycling, minimize waste, and boost the utilization of recycled materials. The following are important government policies and regulations that impact recycling markets.

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) is a policy strategy where manufacturers take responsibility for the entire life cycle of their products, including how they are disposed of at the end of their use. EPR policies mandate that manufacturers are responsible for collecting, recycling, and managing their products and packaging materials appropriately. EPR policies encourage manufacturers to design products that are easier to recycle and support the growth of recycling infrastructure by transferring financial and operational responsibility onto them.

Governments frequently establish recycling goals and requirements to increase recycling rates and reduce the amount of waste being sent to landfills. Specific materials or sectors can have targets defined for them. For instance, a government could set a goal to recycle a certain amount of plastic packaging waste or require a certain percentage of construction waste to be recycled. These objectives offer guidance and stimulate market interest in recycled materials.

Landfill bans and waste diversion involve governments implementing restrictions on certain types of waste that can be disposed of in landfills. These policies are designed to promote recycling and waste diversion by restricting disposal options for specific materials. Landfill prohibitions can lead to new market possibilities for recycling sectors by driving up the need for recycled materials as substitutes for landfilling.

Tax breaks and financial support from governments can help boost recycling efforts and investments in recycling facilities. These monetary rewards can assist in balancing out the expenses linked to recycling operations, stimulate advancements in recycling technologies, and persuade businesses to integrate recycled materials into their products. Tax credits or lower tax rates for businesses that use recycled materials can also boost the demand for recycled materials in the market.

Regulations and standards on product design, labeling, and content can be implemented by governments to encourage the use of recyclable materials and recycled content. These rules might identify the materials allowed in certain items, demand labeling to show if they can be recycled, or enforce a minimum amount of recycled material in particular products. These rules generate a need for recycled materials in the market and motivate manufacturers to consider recyclability when designing products.

Government Procurement Policies: The significant purchasing power of governments can impact recycling markets through their procurement policies. Governments have the option to implement policies that give importance to buying items made of recycled materials or mandate suppliers to adhere to specific environmental standards, such as using recycled materials. Government purchasing rules generate a need for recycled materials and have the potential to boost market expansion.

International agreements and trade policies play a role in shaping recycling markets through their impact on the movement of recyclable materials and the trade of recycled products. Trade limitations, taxes, or import/export rules on particular recyclables may affect the access and costs of recycled materials within local markets. Collaboration across borders and alignment of recycling standards and regulations can help grow worldwide recycling markets.

### 3.3.4. Role of recycling in economic growth and sustainable development

Recycling is important for economic growth and sustainable development as it helps protect the environment, conserve resources, create jobs, and promote a circular economy.

Conservation of resources: Recycling minimizes the extraction and processing of raw materials, thus helping to conserve natural resources. Recycling reduces the depletion of

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

finite resources like minerals, metals, and fossil fuels by recovering and reusing materials from waste streams. Conserving resources not only promotes sustainable development but also aids in reducing environmental impacts from resource extraction (see https://www.resourcepanel.org/reports/global-resources-outlook).

Recycling helps decrease the waste that is disposed of in landfills or burned, which in turn reduces environmental pollution and greenhouse gas emissions. Recycling helps enhance waste management and alleviate strain on landfills by diverting waste from disposal. It aids in averting pollution of the air, soil, and water while reducing the emission of harmful substances from waste into the environment.

Economic growth and job creation are boosted by the recycling industry. Recycling operations involve various tasks such as gathering, classifying, transforming, and creating new items from recycled materials. These actions generate jobs in different areas, including waste management, recycling facilities, logistics, and manufacturing. The recycling sector boosts the economy by creating a need for products and services related to recycling activities (see https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/EllenMacArthurFoundation_CompletingThePicture.pdf; https://www.epa.gov/facts-and-figures-about-materials-waste-and-recycling/advancing-sustainable-materials-management-0).

Value creation and circular economy are closely linked through the promotion of recycling, which aims to maintain resources in use for as long as possible and reduce waste. Recycling generates fresh economic value by turning waste materials into valuable resources. Recycled materials can be employed in the production of goods, lessening the reliance on new materials. The move towards a circular economy spurs creativity, supports eco-friendly business models, and encourages the growth of markets for recycled goods and materials.

Recycling usually uses less energy than obtaining and manufacturing new materials, contributing to energy savings and climate change mitigation. Using recycled materials can decrease energy-intensive activities like mining, refining, and manufacturing. The reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and the mitigation of climate change are supported by the energy saved from recycling. Moreover, recycling specific materials like paper and metals can lead to substantial energy conservation in comparison to manufacturing them from new sources (see https://www.epa.ie/pubs/reports/waste/economics/economic-and-social-benefits-of-recycling.pdf).

Recycling supports sustainable consumption and production patterns by promoting material reuse and recycling, prolonging product lifecycles, and decreasing waste production. It helps transition from the traditional linear model of consumption towards a more sustainable approach focusing on efficient resource use, waste reduction, and the design of long-lasting and recyclable products. Achieving the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) is crucially dependent on shifting towards sustainable consumption and production patterns.

### 3.4. Economic Viability and Challenges of Recycling

#### 3.4.1. Analysis of different recycling business models

Different recycling business models can be utilized based on the materials being recycled, market demand, and the resources and infrastructure available. Below is a breakdown of a few typical recycling enterprise structures:

Gathering and organizing: This method of operation includes gathering and organizing recyclable materials from different origins, like households, businesses, and industrial locations. The gathered items are later organized, treated, and readied for purchase by recycling plants or companies. This model necessitates the establishment of effective

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

collection systems, the investment in sorting equipment and facilities, and the development of relationships with waste generators and end markets (Dahlbo *et al*., 2021; see https://www.erp-recycling.org/recycling-business-models).

Material Recovery Facilities (MRFs): These are specialized facilities that use advanced sorting technologies to separate various materials from mixed recyclable materials. MRFs separate, purify, and compact recyclables to be sold to manufacturers or other recycling facilities. This model necessitates a substantial investment of capital in sorting machinery, expertise in handling materials, and strong collaborations with waste management firms and end markets (see https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/EllenMacArthurFoundation_CompletingThePicture.pdf; https://www.epa.gov/facts-and-figures-about-materials-waste-and-recycling/advancing-sustainable-materials-management-0).

Vertical Integration: Within a vertically integrated recycling model, a company manages various steps of the recycling process, starting from collection and sorting and extending to processing and manufacturing. By combining different phases, the company can increase the value obtained from recycled materials and enhance management of the quality and supply of raw materials. Yet, this approach demands significant investments in infrastructure, expertise across various aspects of the recycling process, and established market links to sell the final goods (Edler *et al*., 2020).

Recycling specific products or materials, like e-waste, plastic bottles, or tires, is the main focus of the Product-specific Recycling business model. Businesses that focus on recycling specific products plan their activities to effectively gather, disassemble, and handle the designated materials. They might also collaborate with producers to guarantee a consistent flow of product leftovers and create pathways for promoting the reused materials. This model necessitates thorough understanding of the particular product or material, specialized tools, and strong connections with waste producers and manufacturers (Dornfeld *et al*., 2021; Ghinea *et al*., 2020).

Reverse Logistics and Take-Back Programs: Reverse logistics deal with the gathering and control of items or packaging materials following their use by customers. This particular model is commonly employed for recycling items within extended producer responsibility (EPR) schemes, in which manufacturers are accountable for managing the disposal of their products once they reach the end of their useful life. Businesses following this approach set up networks for collection, collaborate with retailers and waste management firms, and handle the transportation of goods back to recycling plants. Revenue can be earned by selling gathered materials or by charging manufacturers for handling their waste (Geng *et al*., 2020).

In the waste-to-energy approach, recyclable materials are utilized to produce energy using techniques such as incineration or anaerobic digestion. This model's goal is to extract energy from waste that cannot be recycled, while also ensuring that recyclable materials are correctly sorted and recycled. Specialized technologies, waste management expertise, energy production know-how, and adherence to environmental regulations are needed for waste-to-energy facilities.

These business models can be used in combination depending on a company's abilities and the market environment, showing that they are not necessarily separate options. Strong comprehension of the target materials, dependable feedstock access, effective operations, established supply chains, and collaborations with waste generators, manufacturers, and end markets are vital factors for successful recycling business models. Furthermore, it is essential for the sustainability of recycling companies to keep abreast of market trends, technological advances, and regulatory changes.

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

### 3.4.2. Economic viability and profitability of recycling enterprises

The economic feasibility and profit potential of recycling businesses can differ based on various factors like the type of recyclable materials, market demand, operational efficiency, cost structure, and regulatory environment. Although recycling companies can make money, it is crucial to understand that making a profit is not always the only goal, as these companies often support larger environmental and social objectives. However, certain crucial elements affect the financial sustainability and profit potential of recycling businesses.

The profitability of recycling businesses relies heavily on a consistent market demand for recycled materials. Factors such as supply and demand dynamics, material quality, competition, and global market trends influence the prices of recycled materials. Securing stable and competitive prices for recycled materials is crucial for generating revenue and being profitable (Dahlbo *et al*., 2021).

Efficient operations and processes are crucial for managing costs and optimizing profits. Recycling companies must invest in the right equipment, technology, and infrastructure to improve the collection, sorting, processing, and manufacturing of recycled materials. Improving efficiency, cutting waste, and minimizing downtime can decrease operational expenses and enhance profitability (see https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/EllenMacArthurFoundation_CompletingThePicture.pdf).

Obtaining feedstock: The accessibility and price of feedstock, which is the origin of reusable materials, greatly affect the profitability of recycling businesses. An essential requirement is having a steady and adequate supply of top-grade feedstock. Developing solid connections with waste producers, establishing efficient collection methods, and securing extended contracts for obtaining materials can aid in achieving economic sustainability (Dornfeld *et al*., 2021; Ghinea *et al*., 2020).

Structure of Costs: Controlling expenses is key to the financial success of recycling businesses. Expenses consist of labor, energy, transport, equipment upkeep, building rental or construction, and adhering to regulations. Enhancing profitability can be achieved by reducing costs through effective use of resources, improving processes, buying in bulk, reducing waste, and implementing energy-saving initiatives (see https://www.erp-recycling.org/recycling-business-models).

Enhancing profitability by adding value to recycled materials through advanced processing or manufacturing techniques in product development. Companies in the recycling industry can increase their profits by providing premium or custom recycled products through activities like refining, compounding, or up-cycling. Creating new and original products using recycled materials may also create fresh chances in the market and lead to higher prices (Edler *et al*., 2020).

Government assistance and incentives: Government rules, policies, and financial benefits have a big impact on the financial success and profitability of recycling companies. Policies that offer support, like extended producer responsibility (EPR) programs, tax breaks, funding, and subsidies, can assist in covering operational expenses, encouraging investments in recycling infrastructure, and establishing a positive business climate.

Exploring new markets and diversifying the product portfolio can reduce risks and improve profitability, which is known as Market Development and Diversification. Discovering specialized markets, entering new locations, and broadening the variety of recyclable materials can lessen reliance on one market and generate added sources of income (Dahlbo *et al*., 2021).

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

Environmental and Social Benefits: Along with economic viability and profitability, recycling enterprises prioritize providing environmental and social advantages. Some recycling companies might focus on promoting environmental sustainability, saving resources, decreasing waste, or generating employment opportunities as primary goals, even if it means sacrificing immediate financial gains.

### 3.4.3. Challenges faced by recycling industries

Although recycling industries are essential for sustainable waste management and resource conservation, they encounter various hurdles. The difficulties can change based on factors like regional variations, the type of materials being recycled, and the condition of recycling facilities. Below are a few typical obstacles encountered by the recycling sector:

A major obstacle in recycling is the presence of contamination in recyclable materials, which affects their quality. Contamination happens when non-recyclable or incorrectly sorted items are mixed with recyclables, decreasing the quality and market worth of the materials. Contamination may happen either from the source, such as households or businesses, or during the collection and sorting process. Dealing with contamination necessitates educating the public, raising awareness, enhancing sorting methods, and enforcing recycling rules effectively (Hahladakis *et al*., 2021; Mohammadi *et al*., 2020).

Inadequate Infrastructure and Technology: Limited recycling infrastructure and obsolete technology may impede the efficiency and success of recycling processes. Numerous areas struggle with insufficient collection systems, sorting facilities, and recycling plants, making it difficult to manage increasing amounts of recyclable materials. Improving recycling rates and boosting profitability in the industry requires investments in modern recycling infrastructure, advanced sorting technologies, and processing facilities.

Market oscillations and changes in demand: The recycling sector is impacted by market forces and worldwide interest in recycled goods. Recycling businesses may find it difficult to forecast revenues and plan operations due to the fluctuating prices of recycled commodities. Fluctuations in the market and decreased demand from specific industries or countries may result in the accumulation of materials, decreased prices, and financial insecurity for recycling businesses.

Recycling operations frequently encounter economic hurdles because of the expensive nature of collection, sorting, processing, and transportation. Recycling businesses may struggle to compete economically due to the higher costs associated with recycling compared to using virgin materials in certain situations. This challenge is especially significant when recycled material prices are low, transportation costs are high, or economies of scale are not reached (Dahlbo *et al*., 2021; see https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/EllenMacArthurFoundation_CompletingThePicture.pdf).

Policy and Regulatory Obstacles: Inconsistent or insufficient policies and regulations can create significant hurdles for recycling industries. Absence of uniform recycling protocols, differences in recycling methods by region, and diverse laws on waste disposal and recycling can cause uncertainty and impede the development of recycling enterprises. Transparent and beneficial guidelines, along with EPR frameworks and incentives from the government, can address these obstacles and establish a favorable environment for recycling.

Insufficient public awareness and limited public involvement in recycling programs are factors that add to difficulties in the industry. A lot of people lack a full appreciation of the significance of recycling or may not grasp correct recycling methods. Inadequate public involvement hinders the quality of reusable materials, leads to more contamination, and

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

disrupts the efficiency of recycling processes. These challenges require effective public education campaigns and community engagement initiatives in order to be addressed (Ghinea *et al*., 2020).

Changes in trade policies and restrictions on recyclable materials imports and exports can have a significant impact on the recycling industry. For instance, certain nations have enforced more stringent rules on recyclable imports, reducing the options for recycling companies to export. These trade barriers have the potential to interrupt existing recycling networks and lead to uncertainties in the market.

Keeping up with technological advancements and innovation is difficult for the recycling sector. Continuous investment in recycling technologies is necessary to keep up with new materials, product designs, and packaging formats. Moreover, incorporating digital tools like data management systems and automation can boost operational efficiency, but it may entail substantial initial costs and expertise (see https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/assets/downloads/EllenMacArthurFoundation_CompletingThePicture.pdf; Mohammadi *et al*., 2020).

### 3.4.4. Case studies and examples of successful recycling business models

TerraCycle is a company focused on recycling hard-to-recycle materials. They collaborate with businesses that produce consumer goods to gather and recycle materials like cigarette butts, coffee capsules, and snack wrappers. TerraCycle's distinctive method includes establishing collection points known as "brigades" where customers can deposit these items, and the gathered waste is subsequently recycled or transformed into new products. They have established a thriving company by offering a solution for materials typically excluded from regular recycling programs.

Electronic Recyclers International (ERI) is among the biggest e-waste recycling firms in the United States. They offer complete recycling services for electronic waste, which covers collection, dismantling, and processing from start to finish. ERI has created strategic alliances with manufacturers, retailers, and government organizations to set up collection initiatives and guarantee a consistent flow of electronic waste. Their state-of-the-art recycling plants extract precious materials from electronic gadgets while following strict environmental and data security guidelines.

Recology is a company located in San Francisco, California that specializes in waste management and recycling. They run a thorough recycling system with curbside pick-up, sorting facilities, and processing centers. Recology has reached impressive recycling rates through the use of cutting-edge sorting technologies and by educating the public on correct recycling methods. They additionally put money into infrastructure for efficiently processing and marketing the gathered materials. Recology's achievement can be credited to their comprehensive waste management strategy and dedication to sustainability.

TOMRA is at the forefront worldwide in the field of reverse vending machines (RVMs) and sensor-based sorting solutions. Their Reverse Vending Machines allow customers to bring back drink containers to be recycled and get a refund on their deposit. TOMRA's sorting solutions are implemented in recycling plants to segregate and organize a variety of materials like plastics, metals, and paper. Their technology enhances productivity and optimizes the retrieval of precious materials. TOMRA's approach to business involves blending technology, creativity, and collaborations with government and recycling companies.

Renewi is a European company that converts waste into products. Their main goal is to create value from waste streams by transforming waste into practical materials or energy sources. Renewi has put funds into advanced waste-to-energy facilities, composting sites, and

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

recycling facilities. Their approach to business includes partnering with cities and industry stakeholders to sustainably handle and treat various waste streams. Renewi's strategy showcases the financial feasibility of waste-to-energy and circular economy concepts.

### 3.5. Policy Interventions and Economic Instruments

#### 3.5.1. Government policies and regulations supporting recycling

Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) is a policy that requires manufacturers and producers to take responsibility for managing the lifecycle of their products, which includes dealing with post-consumer waste. Producers must handle the collection, recycling, or proper disposal of their products as part of EPR programs. EPR policies encourage manufacturers to create products that are simpler to recycle and offer financial support for recycling facilities.

Governments frequently establish recycling goals and requirements to increase recycling rates. These goals may be tailored to particular materials or pertain to the entire waste stream. Businesses, industries, or municipalities may be obligated by recycling mandates to reach specific recycling rates or divert a specified amount of waste from going to landfills.

Governments can reduce waste sent to landfills by enforcing bans on certain materials and promoting waste diversion efforts. For instance, certain regions have enforced prohibitions on sending recyclable items like paper, cardboard, plastics, and electronics to landfills. These prohibitions promote recycling and support the advancement of recycling facilities.

Governments may provide financial incentives, grants, or subsidies to promote recycling efforts. These rewards can be given to recycling companies to cover operational expenses, develop infrastructure, or promote technological progress. Funding opportunities may also exist for cities or groups to establish recycling initiatives or carry out research and development on recycling technologies.

Procurement Policies: Governments can promote recycling by setting procurement policies that prioritize using recycled content in their contracts. These measures establish a market for reused items and increase the need for recycled materials, promoting the development of recycling sectors.

Public Awareness and Education Campaigns: Governments frequently allocate funds towards public awareness and educational initiatives to encourage recycling and enhance public engagement. The goal of these campaigns is to teach people about the significance of recycling, correct sorting methods, and the positive environmental impacts of recycling. Governments promote recycling and decrease contamination in recycling streams by increasing public awareness.

Standards and labeling can be set by governments for products that can be recycled. These regulations guarantee that items labeled as recyclable adhere to specific guidelines and are easily recognized by customers. Standardization and labeling boost consumer confidence in recycling by helping them make informed decisions.

Global trade policies: Governments can control the trade of recyclable materials by implementing regulations on imports and exports. The objective of these policies is to stop the shipment of illegal or harmful waste and guarantee that recyclables sent for export are managed and recycled correctly.

#### 3.5.2. Tax incentives and subsidies for recycling industries

Governments often utilize tax breaks and financial aid to encourage and bolster the recycling sector. These strategies seek to encourage investment, employment generation, and the expansion of recycling enterprises.

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

Governments can provide tax credits or faster depreciation schedules for recycling equipment and infrastructure. This helps decrease the financial strain on recycling companies that are buying or improving machinery, sorting equipment, or processing facilities.

The government may offer investment tax credits to incentivize investments in recycling projects or facilities. These credits help reduce the financial burden of capital investment costs, encouraging businesses to start or grow recycling operations.

Research and Development (R&D) Tax Credits encourage companies to fund research and development initiatives focused on recycling technologies and processes. These credits assist in covering some of the expenses involved in designing creative recycling solutions, enhancing productivity, or establishing fresh recycling techniques.

Tax incentives or subsidies for recycling businesses that convert waste materials like biomass or biogas into renewable energy are provided by certain governments. These rewards promote the use of waste as a valuable asset and boost the development of energy-from-waste initiatives.

Reduced Tax Rates: Governments have the option to implement lowered tax rates or special exemptions for certain recycling activities or products. For instance, reduced tax rates could be implemented for the purchase of recycled materials to promote the utilization of recycled materials in manufacturing procedures.

Governments can offer financial aid to assist with the gathering, organizing, and processing of recyclable materials. These subsidies support the expenses linked to recycling infrastructure, transportation, and labor, enabling businesses to financially sustain recycling practices.

Waiving or reducing fees for waste disposal or landfilling is a way for governments to support recycling companies. This lowers waste management expenses for recycling companies and provides a financial motivation to steer waste away from landfills.

Feed-in Tariffs: Feed-in tariffs ensure a set payment or higher price for renewable energy produced from certain sources like biogas or biomass. Recycling companies that convert waste materials into renewable energy can take advantage of feed-in tariffs to guarantee a steady and profitable income.

### 3.5.3. Public procurement programs and sustainable supply chains

#### 3.5.3.1. Public procurement programs

Green Public Procurement (GPP): GPP programs incorporate environmental factors into the procurement process, promoting the buying of products and services with lower environmental impact and meeting particular sustainability criteria. These programs aim to increase market demand for sustainable products and services.

Eco-labels and Certifications: Public procurement programs may mandate or show preference for products and services that possess recognized eco-labels or certifications. These tags guarantee that the items adhere to particular environmental guidelines, such as energy efficiency, water conservation, or reduced use of hazardous substances.

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA): LCA is a method used to assess the environmental effects of items throughout their life cycle. Public procurement initiatives can integrate life cycle assessment information in their decision-making processes, giving preference to products that have reduced environmental impacts.

Supplier Qualification: Public procurement programs may require suppliers to adhere to specific sustainability standards, such as environmental management systems and social responsibility criteria. This encourages suppliers to implement sustainable practices and ensures the entire supply chain follows sustainability objectives.

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

#### 3.5.3.2. Sustainable supply chains

Supplier Engagement: Organizations engage and collaborate with suppliers to promote sustainability practices. This involves establishing sustainability criteria, providing education and support, and fostering cooperation for ongoing improvement.

Supply Chain Transparency: Organizations aim to enhance supply chain transparency by tracing the source of raw materials, evaluating supplier performance, and disclosing sustainability information. Transparency helps identify and manage environmental and social risks and improves decision-making.

Sustainability in Sourcing and Materials: Companies strive to procure materials and components from suppliers who comply with sustainable standards. This includes considering aspects such as carbon footprint, resource efficiency, waste reduction, ethical labor practices, and responsible sourcing of raw materials.

Logistics and Transportation Optimization: Sustainable supply chains focus on optimizing logistics and transportation to lower greenhouse gas emissions, reduce energy usage, and improve efficiency. Strategies may include route optimization, utilization of low-emission vehicles, and adoption of alternative modes of transportation.

Circular Economy Practices: Sustainable supply chains embrace circular economy principles by promoting the reuse, recycling, and remanufacturing of products. This involves creating durable products, designing for recyclability and ease of repair, and implementing systems for product and material return and reuse.

### 3.5.4. Circular economy strategies and their economic implications

#### 3.5.4.1. Circular economy strategies

Cost Savings: Implementing circular economy strategies can lead to substantial cost savings for businesses. By optimizing resource utilization, reducing waste production, and adopting practices like product reuse, repair, and remanufacturing, companies can decrease raw materials and production expenses. This results in financial benefits for both manufacturers and customers by lowering the need for frequent replacements.

Business Growth and Income Generation: The circular economy creates new opportunities for business growth and income generation. Creative strategies such as offering product-as-a-service, where consumers pay for product usage instead of ownership, can lead to lasting customer connections and consistent revenue. Recycling and repurposing waste materials also contribute to the emergence of new sectors and job opportunities within the recycling and circular supply chain industries (see https://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/producerresponsibility/index_en.htm).

Improved Resource Security: Embracing the circular economy reduces dependence on limited resources, enhancing resource security and reducing the risks associated with resource scarcity and fluctuating prices. Tactics such as recycling, remanufacturing, and incorporating renewable materials contribute to supply chain resilience, enabling businesses to stay consistent with production and mitigate disruptions.

Environmental Protection: Circular economy methods contribute to environmental protection by reducing waste, lowering greenhouse gas emissions, and preserving natural resources. The associated cost savings from waste management, pollution control, and compliance with environmental regulations further incentivize businesses to adopt sustainable practices. Additionally, companies that demonstrate environmental responsibility can enhance their brand reputation, appeal to eco-friendly consumers, and improve their market standing.

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

Innovation and Competitiveness: Innovation is crucial in the circular economy, as it involves designing sustainable products, optimizing manufacturing processes, and developing new business models. By participating in circular economy initiatives, companies can gain a competitive edge by offering sustainable, long-lasting, and resource-efficient products. This allows them to stand out in the market, attract eco-friendly consumers, and meet evolving customer demands.

Cooperation and Alliances: Implementing circular economy strategies often requires collaboration and partnerships among different industries, sectors, and value chains. Working together with suppliers, customers, waste management firms, and other stakeholders enables the creation of closed-loop systems, resource exchange, and improved material streams. This collaboration results in cost savings, increased productivity, and joint problem-solving, benefiting all involved parties.

## 4. CONCLUSION

A summary of key findings from the literature review is in the following:

(i) Tax Incentives and Subsidies for Recycling Industries:
   i. Encouraging Recycling Businesses: Tax breaks and financial assistance play a crucial role in encouraging and supporting recycling businesses by reducing economic barriers and promoting investment in recycling facilities, equipment, and research (European Commission, 2020). Governments provide various tax incentives, including tax credits for equipment and infrastructure, investment tax credits, research and development (R&D) tax credits, lower tax rates, and subsidies for collection and processing.
   ii. Economic Sustainability and Job Creation: Tax benefits and financial support enhance the economic sustainability of recycling companies, promote the adoption of recycled materials, and contribute to job creation and economic growth within the recycling industry. These incentives help attract investment, stimulate innovation, and foster the development of a circular economy.
   iii. Location-Specific Incentives: Tax incentives and subsidies for recycling industries vary across locations due to differences in local policies, economic conditions, and environmental goals. Governments tailor their support measures to address specific challenges and leverage opportunities in their respective regions.

(ii) Sustainable Supply Chains and Public Procurement Programs:
   i. Market Demand for Sustainable Products: Sustainability criteria, such as green public procurement (GPP), eco-labeling, and life cycle assessment (LCA) in public procurement programs, drive market demand for sustainable products and services. These criteria encourage the procurement of goods and services that meet specific environmental standards, including those related to recycling and circularity.
   ii. Optimizing Supply Chains: Sustainable supply chains focus on engaging with suppliers, ensuring transparency, sourcing materials sustainably, optimizing logistics, and practicing circular economy principles. This approach aims to reduce environmental impacts, minimize waste generation, and promote resource efficiency throughout the supply chain.
   iii. Collaboration and Partnership: Public procurement programs and sustainable supply chains work hand in hand, leveraging the purchasing power of governments and organizations to drive sustainability improvements across the entire supply chain. Engaging in sustainable supply chain practices and

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

participating in public procurement programs can lead to cost savings, new business opportunities, improved resource security, reduced environmental impacts, increased innovation, enhanced competitiveness, and foster collaboration and partnerships.

(iii) Implications for Government decision-makers:
   i. Creating Effective Incentives: Policymakers should focus on designing and implementing effective tax incentives and subsidies to support the growth of the recycling sector. These measures should be tailored, easily understandable, and adaptable to the diverse needs of different recycling companies.
   ii. Strong Public Procurement Programs: Governments need to establish robust public procurement programs that incorporate sustainability criteria. This can drive market demand for sustainable products and services, foster innovation, and facilitate the transition to a circular economy.
   iii. Collaboration and Partnerships: Policymakers should foster collaboration and partnerships with businesses, industry associations, and environmental organizations to develop and implement effective policies and initiatives. This collaborative approach can enhance the success and impact of sustainable waste management strategies.

(iv) Implications for Enterprises:
   i. Utilizing Incentives: Businesses should proactively seek and take advantage of tax incentives and subsidies available for the recycling sector. This can reduce investment costs, improve financial viability, and stimulate business growth.
   ii. Sustainable Supply Chain Practices: Companies should align their supply chain practices with sustainability principles, including sustainable sourcing, resource efficiency, and circular economy strategies. This can enhance competitiveness, reduce costs, and mitigate risks associated with limited resources and environmental impacts.
   iii. Engaging in Public Procurement Programs: Businesses can benefit from participating in public procurement programs, as this can create new business opportunities, enhance brand reputation, and attract environmentally conscious customers. It provides a platform for showcasing sustainable products and services.

(v) Implications for Community:
   i. Environmental Benefits: Society as a whole benefit from the implementation of tax breaks, subsidies, government purchasing initiatives, and sustainable supply chains. These actions contribute to environmental sustainability, resource conservation, and waste reduction, resulting in improved air and water quality, reduced greenhouse gas emissions, and better management of natural resources.
   ii. Economic and Innovation Opportunities: The growth of the recycling sector and the adoption of circular economy practices can generate employment, drive economic development, and foster innovation and technological progress. The availability of sustainable products and services in society promotes the adoption of healthier and more sustainable lifestyles.
   iii. Resilient and Sustainable Society: Increased recycling rates and sustainable supply chains contribute to building a more resilient and sustainable society in the face of environmental challenges. These actions help address environmental concerns and promote long-term sustainability.

(vi) Analyze the Costs and Benefits of Recycling Programs and Policies:

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

Comprehensive Cost-Benefit Analysis: Conducting thorough cost-benefit analyses of recycling programs and policies is crucial to evaluate their economic impact. This involves assessing the expenses associated with recycling facilities, collection methods, and processing technologies, while also measuring the economic benefits in terms of resource savings, job creation, reduced environmental impacts, and avoided disposal costs.

(vii) Market Analysis of Recycling Markets:
Understanding Recycling Market Dynamics: Investigate the workings of recycling markets, including factors influencing the supply and demand of recycled materials. This analysis should explore market structures, price fluctuations, barriers to market entry, and the influence of government policies and actions on recycling market outcomes.

(viii) Economic Feasibility and Growth of Circular Business Models:
Assessing Circular Business Models: Evaluate the economic feasibility and growth potential of circular business models, such as product-as-a-service, sharing economy platforms, and extended producer responsibility (EPR) schemes. Analyze the financial incentives and barriers for companies to adopt these strategies and investigate their effectiveness in promoting sustainability and efficient resource use.

(ix) Economic Consequences of New Recycling Technologies:
Evaluation of New Recycling Technologies: Examine the economic implications of emerging recycling technologies, such as advanced sorting systems, chemical recycling, and waste-to-energy methods. Evaluate the costs, benefits, scalability, and potential to improve recycling rates and resource recovery.

(x) Behavioral Economics and Recycling:
Behavioral Economics Approaches: Apply principles of behavioral economics to understand consumer behavior and decision-making in recycling and waste management. Investigate how economic incentives and behavior-based interventions can encourage recycling and reduce contamination in recycling processes.

(xi) Assessing the Efficiency and Effectiveness of Recycling Policies:
Evaluation of Recycling Policies and Programs: Assess the efficiency and effectiveness of existing recycling policies and programs, including tax incentives, subsidies, producer responsibility initiatives, and landfill disposal bans. Evaluate their impact on recycling rates, economic outcomes, environmental benefits, and social equity to inform future policy development and implementation.

(xii) Examining the Social and Distributional Impacts of Recycling Programs:
Social and Distributional Analysis: Analyze the effects of recycling programs on society, job opportunities, income equality, and fairness. Examine how recycling policies affect different socio-economic groups and identify strategies to address potential disparities and ensure inclusive recycling systems.

(xiii) International and Comparative Studies:
Comparative Analysis: Compare recycling performance, policy effectiveness, and best practices across different countries and regions to analyze the economic factors influencing them. Examine the transferability of successful recycling policies and strategies to diverse settings.

(xiv) Integrating Economic and Environmental Analysis:
Combined Economic and Environmental Assessment: Integrate economic analysis with life cycle assessment (LCA) and material flow analysis (MFA) methods to comprehensively evaluate the environmental and economic impacts of recycling

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056

systems. This holistic approach provides a deeper understanding of the economic and environmental trade-offs and identifies areas for improvement.

## 5. AUTHORS' NOTE

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. The authors confirmed that the paper was free of plagiarism.

## 6. REFERENCES

Chen, Y. Y., Wang, L., and Huang, Y. T. (2020). A pay-as-you-throw system with performance-based recycling incentives: Evidence from Taipei, Taiwan. *Waste Management*, *106*, 295-305.

Costa, F., Pires, A., and Ramos, T. B. (2017). Pay-as-you-throw schemes: A literature review. *Waste Management*, *68*, 475-493.

Dahlbo, H., Aalto, K., and Antikainen, R. (2021). Business models in circular economy transitions: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *296*, 126562.

Dornfeld, C., Flapper, S., and van der Lugt, R. (2021). Product-specific circular business models: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *278*, 123828.

Edler, J., Georghiou, L., Yeow, J., and Yeow, J. (2020). Vertical integration in recycling: An exploration of the business models of recycling companies. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *161*, 104985.

Farrell, K. N., Hainmueller, J., and Mummolo, J. (2019). Combining list experiments and direct questions to estimate hidden populism in the United States. *American Journal of Political Science*, *63*(4), 999-1022.

Fischer, C., Salant, S. W., and Zhao, J. (2018). Emissions trading, electricity generation and production efficiency. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, *88*, 180-209.

Geng, Y., Doberstein, B., and Fujita, T. (2020). Reverse logistics in the context of extended producer responsibility: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *249*, 119372.

Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R., and Law, K. L. (2016). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science Advances*, *3*(7), e1700782.

Ghinea, C., Rosca, E., and Cioca, L. I. (2020). E-waste management business models: Lessons learned from life cycle assessment studies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *279*, 123648.

Gomez, C. M., Ribeiro, M. M., and Freire, F. (2019). Pay-as-you-throw based on waste generation and social tariffs: A case study. *Waste Management*, *95*, 305-314.

Hahladakis, J. N., Iacovidou, E., and Velis, C. A. (2021). Challenges and opportunities in the implementation of the circular economy agenda. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *167*,165412.

Huang, Y., Li, B., and Xie, J. (2020). Variable pricing for municipal solid waste management: A review. *Waste Management*, *105*, 21-32.

McConnell, V., Schwartz, J., Chang, Y., and Choy, S. L. (2018). Beverage container deposit-return laws: Associations with recycling behavior and weight loss. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *55*(3), 353-360.

Mohammadi, F., Rezaei, J., Tavasszy, L., and de Brito, M. P. (2020). Circular economy in the food and beverage industry: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *261*, 121245.

Norgate, T., and Rankin, W. (2019). Life cycle assessment of aluminium can production. *Environmental Science and Technology*, *53*(4), 2133-2144.

Recycling International. (2023a). China's import ban: A year later. *Recycling International*, *2023*(1), 14-17.

Recycling International. (2023b). Global trade policies impact recycling. *Recycling International*, *2023*(1), 10-13.

Reike, D., Vermeulen, W. J., and Witjes, S. (2018). The circular economy: New or refurbished as CE 3.0? —exploring controversies in the conceptualization of the circular economy through a focus on history and resource value retention options. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *135*, 246-264.

Resource Recycling. (2023). Plastics recycling technology: A status report. *Resource Recycling*, *2023*(2), 24-31.

Sorrell, S., Bentley, R., and Buckley, P. (2020). Trading schemes for reducing carbon emissions in road transport: A critical review. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, *86*, 102437.

Wang, S., Xie, J., Li, B., and Huang, Y. (2019). The impact of container deposit-refund systems on recycling rates: Evidence from Taiwan. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *143*, 1-8.

DOI:
p-ISSN: 2828-9064 e-ISSN: 2828-9056