



Religiology as a Methodological Framework for Analyzing Religious Influence on Management Systems in Multinational Corporations

Valery Vladimirovich Glushchenko

Russian State University of Social Technologies, Moscow, Russia

Correspondence: E-mail: valery.v.glushchenko@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the role of religiology as a methodological framework for analyzing how religions influence management systems in multinational corporations (MNCs). In the context of globalization and increasing cultural and religious diversity, religious factors may affect organizational culture, leadership styles, decision-making processes, and conflict management. The study aims to clarify the methodological foundations of religiology and to demonstrate its applicability in assessing religious influence on managerial effectiveness. Using historical, logical, and systems analysis, the paper identifies key mechanisms through which religious values shape corporate governance and organizational stability. Particular attention is given to polyreligious environments, where unmanaged religious differences may generate operational risks. The novelty of the study lies in conceptualizing religiology not only as a theoretical discipline but also as an applied analytical tool for improving management performance and reducing conflict potential in multinational corporate structures, especially under crisis conditions.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Submitted/Received 02 Dec 2025

First Revised 08 Jan 2026

Accepted 14 Mar 2026

First Available online 15 Mar 2026

Publication Date 01 Apr 2027

Keyword:

*Religiology,
Multinational corporations,
Management systems,
Organizational culture,
Religious influence.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid expansion of multinational corporations (MNCs) in the context of globalization has intensified cultural and religious diversity within organizational environments. As companies operate across regions characterized by different belief systems, religious values increasingly intersect with management practices, leadership styles, communication patterns, and decision-making processes. While economic, political, and cultural variables have been widely examined in international management studies, the role of religion as an independent and structured analytical factor remains comparatively underexplored.

Religion shapes ethical norms, perceptions of authority, attitudes toward hierarchy, and behavioral expectations. In multinational settings, these dimensions may influence organizational culture, employee motivation, trust formation, and models of corporate governance (Parboteeah *et al.*, 2008).

In polyreligious environments, insufficient consideration of religious differences may contribute to internal tensions, communication barriers, and reduced organizational stability. Conversely, the constructive integration of religious diversity into management strategies can enhance cohesion and long-term organizational effectiveness (Tracey, 2012).

Despite the growing relevance of religion in global business contexts, management research often treats religion as a secondary component of national culture rather than as a systematic analytical category. Many reports regarding this matter have been well developed (Glushchenko, 2022; Van Ngunyen & Van Ngunyen 2025; Vladimirovich, 2026a; Vladimirovich, 2026b; Zhang, 2021; Shperl, 2018; Antonov *et al.*, 2023; Nasilian, 2019; Gladskikh, 2020). This paper proposes religiology (understood as a general theory of religions) as a methodological framework for analyzing mechanisms through which religious factors influence management systems in MNCs. By clarifying its methodological principles and analytical functions, the study seeks to demonstrate how religiology can function as an applied tool for assessing organizational risks, improving governance structures, and strengthening managerial performance, particularly under crisis conditions.

2. METHODS

This study employs a qualitative conceptual research design aimed at developing religiology as a methodological framework for analyzing religious influence on management systems in multinational corporations (MNCs). The research adopts an interdisciplinary approach that integrates insights from management studies, sociology of religion, and organizational theory. Conceptual research is appropriate when the objective is to clarify theoretical constructs and propose analytical frameworks rather than to test empirical hypotheses (Jaakkola, 2020).

The methodological approach combines historical and logical analysis to examine the evolution of religious influence on economic and managerial practices. Systems analysis is applied to explore the interaction between religious values and organizational subsystems, including leadership structures, corporate culture, and human resource management. Comparative analysis identifies general mechanisms through which religious factors may affect managerial effectiveness across cultural contexts.

The study relies on secondary academic literature to construct an integrative analytical model. The result is a structured methodological framework designed to support governance strategies and enhance organizational stability in multinational corporate environments.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The conceptual analysis identifies that religious influence on management systems in multinational corporations (MNCs) operates through structured normative and behavioral mechanisms rather than through isolated cultural traits. The findings indicate three primary domains of influence: (i) value formation and ethical standards, (ii) authority and leadership perceptions, and (iii) organizational cohesion and conflict dynamics. These domains correspond to institutional, behavioral, and risk-management dimensions within corporate systems.

First, religion contributes to value-based governance by shaping ethical expectations regarding fairness, hierarchy, responsibility, and collective versus individual orientation (Parboteeah *et al.*, 2008). These value systems may influence corporate codes of conduct, compliance structures, and leadership legitimacy.

Second, religious traditions affect perceptions of authority and leadership. In some traditions, authority is viewed as hierarchical and sacred, whereas in others it is more consultative and community-oriented. Such orientations may influence leadership acceptance, communication style, and decision-making centralization (Tracey, 2012).

Third, in polyreligious corporate environments, unmanaged religious diversity may increase latent conflict risks. However, when recognized institutionally, religious diversity can enhance trust formation and long-term stability through inclusive governance models. These mechanisms are systematized in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Mechanisms of Religious Influence on Management Systems in MNCs.

Dimension	Religious Mechanism	Managerial Impact	Organizational Outcome
Ethical-Normative	Value systems (duty, justice, collectivism/individualism)	Governance standards; CSR orientation	Ethical coherence; legitimacy
Authority Structure	Sacred vs. consultative authority models	Leadership style; hierarchy acceptance	Stability or resistance
Behavioral Regulation	Work ethics; discipline norms	HR policies; motivation systems	Productivity variation
Social Cohesion	Identity and group solidarity	Team dynamics; inclusion practices	Cohesion or fragmentation
Risk Potential	Religious sensitivity & misrecognition	Conflict management strategies	Risk mitigation or escalation

The results demonstrate that religiology provides a structured framework for identifying these mechanisms systematically rather than treating religion as a residual cultural variable.

The findings support the argument that religion should not be reduced to a subcomponent of national culture, as is often the case in cross-cultural management literature. Instead, religion functions as an independent normative system capable of shaping managerial legitimacy, ethical governance, and organizational cohesion.

From a theoretical perspective, this study extends classical insights regarding the relationship between religion and economic behavior into contemporary multinational corporate governance. While some papers focused on macroeconomic development, the present framework applies religiology at the meso-level of organizational systems.

Moreover, integrating religiology into management analysis complements leadership research such as the GLOBE study by adding a structured religious dimension to cultural clusters. Religious norms may explain variations in leadership acceptance beyond generalized cultural indices.

Importantly, the analysis highlights that religious influence may produce both stabilizing and destabilizing effects. When organizational governance recognizes religious plurality and incorporates inclusive frameworks, diversity enhances resilience. Conversely, neglect or symbolic exclusion may intensify identity-based conflict risks.

Table 2 summarizes the dual potential of religious influence under different governance conditions.

Table 2. Religious Influence Under Different Governance Approaches

Governance Approach	Treatment of Religious Diversity	Expected Organizational Effect
Integrative Governance	Institutional recognition; inclusive HR policies	Increased trust; long-term stability
Neutral-Formal Governance	Religion treated as private, unmanaged factor	Latent tension; informal group formation
Exclusionary Governance	Suppression or symbolic marginalization	Identity conflict; productivity decline

These findings suggest that religiology functions as a risk-diagnostic and governance-enhancing tool. Conceptualizing religion as a structured analytical variable enables management systems to anticipate normative friction and design culturally adaptive strategies.

Overall, the study contributes to interdisciplinary scholarship by bridging management theory and the general theory of religions. It demonstrates that religiology can serve not merely as a descriptive discipline but as an applied methodological instrument for improving managerial effectiveness in multinational corporate environments, particularly under conditions of crisis and structural uncertainty.

4. CONCLUSION

This study conceptualizes religiology as a methodological framework for analyzing the mechanisms through which religions influence management systems in multinational corporations (MNCs). The findings demonstrate that religion operates not merely as a cultural background variable but as a structured normative system shaping ethical standards, leadership legitimacy, organizational cohesion, and conflict dynamics. By systematizing these mechanisms, religiology provides an analytical tool for identifying both stabilizing and destabilizing effects of religious factors in polyreligious corporate environments.

The study contributes to management scholarship by extending classical theories on religion and economic behavior to the organizational level and by complementing cross-cultural leadership research. It proposes that recognizing religious influence at the governance level enhances risk anticipation and strategic adaptation, particularly under conditions of crisis and structural uncertainty.

Practically, the framework suggests that integrative governance approaches toward religious diversity may increase trust, legitimacy, and long-term organizational stability. Conversely, neglect or suppression of religious identity may intensify latent tensions and operational risks.

As a conceptual study, the paper does not include empirical testing. Future research may apply the proposed framework in comparative case studies or quantitative analyses to measure the impact of religious variables on managerial performance indicators. Such empirical validation would further strengthen religiology as an applied methodological instrument in international management research.

5. REFERENCES

- Antonov, K.M., Polskov, K.O., and Smirnov M.Y. (2023). Secularization and post-secularity (towards a discussion on the modern scientific apparatus of religious research). *Bulletin of the Orthodox St. Tikhon's University for the Humanities. Series 1: Theology. Philosophy. Religious studies*. 105, 138-154.
- Gladskikh, D.S. (2020). The influence of the main philosophical and religious teachings of China on the modern mentality of the Chinese. *Youth Bulletin of the St. Petersburg State Institute of Culture*. 14(2), 100-102.
- Glushchenko, V. V. (2022). Crisisology as a methodology for the study of crises associated with the process of forming a new technological order. *International Research Journal (Международный научно-исследовательский журнал)*, 120(6), 120-126.
- Jaakkola, E. (2020). Designing conceptual articles: Four approaches. *AMS Review*, 10(1–2), 18–26.
- Nasilian, I.V. (2019). The influence of religious morality on the formation of corporate culture in the business environment. In the collection: Problems of socio-economic development: searches, prospects, solutions. Collection of scientific articles. *Yerevan Branch of Plekhanov Russian University of Economics, 2019*, 113-123.
- Parboteeah, K. P., Paik, Y., and Cullen, J. B. (2008). Religious groups and work values: A focus on Buddhism, Christianity, Hinduism, and Islam. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 8(1), 51–67.
- Shperl, K.A. (2018). Cicero's religiology based on the treatise "On the Nature of the Gods". *Bulletin of the Orthodox St. Tikhon's University for the Humanities. Series 1: Theology. Philosophy. Religious Studies*. 77, 89-99.
- Tracey, P. (2012). Religion and organization: A critical review of current trends and future directions. *Academy of Management Annals*, 6(1), 87–134.
- Van Nguyen, C., and Van Nguyen, T. (2025). Religion and the socialist rule-of-law state in Vietnam—on the trilogy "The rule of law and religion in Vietnam". *The Russian Journal of Vietnamese Studies*, 9(3), 153-159.
- Vladimirovich, G. V. (2026a). Religiology as a Meta-Theory: Historical, Institutional, and Economic Functions of Religion. *ASEAN Journal of Religion, Education, and Society*, 5(2), 51-60.
- Vladimirovich, G. V. (2026b). Analyzing How Religions Shape Economic Development Models: A Religiology-Based Framework for Managing Socioeconomic Risks. *ASEAN Journal of Economic and Economic Education*, 5(1), 7-12.

Zhang, X. (2021). Is philosophy of religion capable of performing meta-theoretical functions in the study of religion. *Bulletin of Science and Creativity*, 8(68), 15-17.